



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,047	09/29/2003	John A. Kapeles	A0693.10U	2575
7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Thomas C. Saitta			LOFDAHL, JORDAN M	
Rogers Towers, P.A. Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1301 Riverplace Boulevard			3644	
Jacksonville, FL 32207			DATE MÄILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
()		Application				
Office Action Summer:	10/674,047	KAPELES ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Jordan Lofdahl	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er:					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/674,047

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.Ş.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

projectile

Claim 22 recites the limitation "said base". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35, U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-10, 12-14, 16-23, 25-27, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luxton (5035183) and further in view of Royer (3732821)

7

Art Unit: 3644

As to claim 1, Luxton discloses a projectile nose (4) joined to a projectile base (end of cylindrical wall (3)) said nose comprised of a frangible, rigid polymer (polyethylene). Not disclosed is a polymer foam. Royer, however, discloses a foamed polymeric material (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the polymer of Luxton with the polymer of Royer, to reduce the lethal potential of the device.

As to claims 3, 16 and 29 disclosed is a density between approximately 8 and 14 pounds per cubic foot ('821, col. 3, lines 15).

As to claims 4 and 17, disclosed is a cavity (fig. 1).

As to claims 5 and 18, disclosed is a payload ('183, col. 3, lines 49-53) that is inherently dispersed laterally upon impact.

As to claims 6, 8, 19, 21 and 30 disclosed is a powder.

As to claims 7, 9, 20 and 22, disclosed is a rear plug (5); joined to a cylindrical wall (3) to create a nose cavity.

As to claims 10 and 23, disclosed is polyurethane ('821, col. 3, line 14).

Art Unit: 3644

As to claims 12 and 25, disclosed is a forward wall joined to a cylindrical wall (fig. 1).

As to claims 13 and 26, disclosed is a forward and cylindrical wall and a rear plug (fig. 1).

As to claim 14, Luxton discloses a projectile (fig. 2 and 3) comprising a nose (4) and a projectile body (read as the end section of cylindrical wall (3)) said projectile separably joined to a propulsion shell (2) comprising a propulsion means (propellant gasses) said nose comprised of a frangible, rigid polymer (polyethylene). Not disclosed is a polymer foam. Royer, however, discloses a foamed polymeric material (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the polymer of Luxton with the polymer of Royer, to reduce the lethal potential of the device.

As to claim 27, Luxton discloses a projectile (fig. 2 and 3) comprising a nose (4) and a projectile body (read as the end section of cylindrical wall (3)) said projectile separably joined to a propulsion shell (2) comprising a propulsion means (propellant gasses) said nose comprised of a frangible, rigid polymer (polyethylene); a payload ('183, col. 3, lines 49-53) that is inherently dispersed laterally upon impact. Not disclosed is a polymer foam. Royer, however, discloses a foamed polymeric material (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/674,047

Art Unit: 3644

comprise the polymer of Luxton with the polymer of Royer, to reduce the lethal potential

Page 5

of the device.

Claims 2, 11, 15, 25, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Luxton (5035183) in view of Royer (3732821) and further in view of

Barr (3865038).

As to claims 2, 15 and 28, disclosed is a rounded forward wall (12) and a cylindrical wall

(3). Not disclosed is the cylindrical wall being thinner than the forward wall. Barr,

however, discloses a device, comprising a cylindrical wall (25) thinner than the nose

(23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to comprise the device, as modified, with a cylindrical wall thinner

than the nose as taught by Barr, to reduce the lethal potential by absorbing more energy

upon impact.

As to claims 12 and 24, disclosed is a forward wall joined to a cylindrical wall ('183, fig.

1).

As to claim 31, disclosed is a rear plug (5); joined to a cylindrical wall (3) to create a

nose cavity.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703.305.7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jml

TERI P. LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER